

LING GU4120 Language Documentation and Field Methods Progress Report 2: Yakut Nominal Morphology and Syntax

Eleanor Lin, Columbia University

Monday, April 10, 2023

1 Introduction

In this paper, I describe Yakut nominal morphology and some aspects of Yakut syntax. Yakut is a Turkic language spoken by approximately 378,000 people in the Republic of Sakha (also called Yakutia), Irkutsk and Magadan provinces, Khabarovsk Krai, and Krasnoyarsk Krai in Russia. The endonym for the language is Sakha (Yakut 2023). As our consultant usually refers to his language as Yakut, I will use this exonym to refer to the language for the rest of the report.

The consultant for this paper is Platon Shamaev, who is a native speaker of Yakut in his forties. Platon was born in and grew up in the Republic of Sakha. He moved to the United States last year and currently works as a lawyer in New York, where he is affiliated with Columbia University's Human Rights Advocates Program. Platon also speaks English. All data referenced in this paper come from Columbia's Spring 2023 Field Methods class's elicitation sessions with Platon.

In §2, I give an overview of phonological rules which account for all morphophonemic alternations seen in the data. In the remaining sections, I describe how nouns (§3), pronouns (§4), noun phrases (§5), and copular clauses (§7) are realized morphologically and syntactically in Yakut. In §6, I also describe some derivational nominal morphology. Based on its realization of the above aspects of nominal morphology, Yakut is highly agglutinative language (Payne 1997: 28).

In §8, I discuss potential future directions for investigation, based on current gaps in our knowledge of Yakut morphosyntax.

2 Phonological rules

In this section, I describe the phonological rules accounting for allophonic variation and contextually limited contrast in Yakut. The following rules should be assumed to account for any and all morphophonemic alternations which appear in the examples in subsequent sections, and all derivation of surface representations from underlying representations, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Backing harmony

$[+\text{syllabic}] \rightarrow [\alpha_{\text{back}}] / \left[\begin{array}{c} +\text{syllabic} \\ \alpha_{\text{back}} \end{array} \right] C_{0-}$ Bounding domain: word

A vowel matches the backness of the first vowel preceding it, within the same word.

Rounding harmony for high vowels

$\left[\begin{array}{c} +\text{syllabic} \\ +\text{high} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow [\alpha_{\text{round}}] / \left[\begin{array}{c} +\text{syllabic} \\ \alpha_{\text{round}} \end{array} \right] C_{0-}$ Bounding domain: word

A high vowel matches the roundness of the nearest preceding vowel.

Rounding harmony for non-high vowels (part 1)

$\left[\begin{array}{c} +\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{high} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow [-\text{round}] / \left[\begin{array}{c} +\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{round} \end{array} \right] C_{0_}$ Bounding domain: word
A [-high] vowel becomes unrounded when the nearest preceding vowel is unrounded.

Rounding harmony for non-high vowels (part 2)

$\left[\begin{array}{c} +\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{high} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow [+\text{round}] / \left[\begin{array}{c} +\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{high} \\ +\text{round} \end{array} \right] C_{0_}$ Bounding domain: word
A [-high] vowel becomes rounded when the nearest preceding vowel is rounded and [-high].

Nasalization

$\left[\begin{array}{c} -\text{syllabic} \\ +\text{voice} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow [+\text{nasal}] / \left[\begin{array}{c} -\text{syllabic} \\ +\text{nasal} \end{array} \right] -$ Bounding domain: word
A voiced consonant becomes a nasal when immediately following a nasal consonant.

Voicing assimilation

$[-\text{syllabic}] \rightarrow [-\text{voice}] / \left[\begin{array}{c} -\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{voice} \end{array} \right] -$ Bounding domain: word
Consonants are devoiced following voiceless consonants.

Stop formation (1)

$[l] \rightarrow [d] / C_ \text{ unless } [l]___$
[l] becomes [d] when following a consonant, unless that consonant is also [l].

Stop formation (2)

$[ɣ] \rightarrow [g] / C_$
[ɣ] becomes [g] when following a consonant.

Debuccalization

$[s] \rightarrow [h] / V_V$
[s] becomes [h] intervocally.

Hiatus Resolution

$V \rightarrow \emptyset / V_ \text{ unless } V = V$
A vowel following another vowel is deleted, unless the two vowels are the same.

Nasal Deletion

$\left[\begin{array}{c} -\text{syllabic} \\ +\text{nasal} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \emptyset / - \left[\begin{array}{c} -\text{syllabic} \\ +\text{nasal} \end{array} \right] \text{ unless the two nasals share a place of articulation}$

A nasal consonant preceding another nasal consonant deletes, unless both share the same place of articulation.

Tapping

$/r/ \rightarrow [r] / V_V$
/r/ becomes [r] intervocally.

Word-Final Lowering

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} +\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{long} \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[-\text{tense} \right] / _C_0\#$$

Short vowels become lax in the final syllable of a word.

3 Nouns

In this section, I describe number marking (§3.1), case marking (§3.3), definiteness marking (§3.4), and possessive marking (§3.5) on nominals. I also argue that Yakut does not group nouns into noun classes or grammatical genders (§3.2).

3.1 Number marking

Yakut differentiates between singular and plural nouns by marking the plural form with the suffix *-lEr*, where *E* stands for the archiphoneme which is $\left[\begin{array}{l} +\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{high} \end{array} \right]$. Surface representations of the plural marker differ based on the phonological rules described in §2. Several examples of possible surface representations follow.

- (1) 2023-01-19
kehi-lEr
person-PL
'people'
- (2) 2023-01-26
aʁa-lar
father-PL
'fathers'
- (3) 2023-02-09
baʁ-dar
rich-PL
'rich ones'

Yakut also differentiates between count and mass nouns. Mass nouns such as “food” (4) and “meat” (5) cannot take the plural marker.

- (4) 2023-01-25
as
food
'food'
- (5) 2023-02-09
εt
meat
'meat'

3.2 Absence of class and gender marking

There is no evidence for noun classes or grammatical gender marking in Yakut. Positive evidence for noun classes would consist of features such as the presence of different classifiers for different classes of nouns in noun phrases featuring numerals. Positive evidence of grammatical gender would include characteristic suffixes for nouns of different genders (Payne 1997: 107-109). Because no such positive evidence has emerged in our elicitation sessions thus far, it is highly unlikely that Yakut features noun classes or grammatical gender.

3.3 Case marking

Yakut differentiates between nominative, accusative, dative, ablative, comparative, and instrumental cases. The nominative case is unmarked. The remaining cases are each marked with a unique suffix. Table 3.3 gives the paradigm for Yakut case marking on nominals.

The nominative case is used for subjects, nominal predicates, and the citation form of nouns. The accusative case is unmarked, except when the noun is definite (see §3.4 for further discussion). In addition to being used to expressed indirect objects and possession, the dative case also marks locations at or toward which an action takes place, as well as the objects of verbs such as “help.” (There is no morphologically distinct locative case.) The ablative case is used for the standard against which the subject is compared in the comparative construction. The ablative case is also used for nouns (away) *from* which some action or movement is taking place (e.g. for arguments of verbs such as “ask” and “take”). The comparative case can be used instead of the ablative case in comparative constructions. For more on comparatives, see §5.5. The instrumental case marks the instrument by which an agent carries out an action.

Nominative	∅
Accusative	∅ or /-E/ (for definite nominals only)
Dative	/-ɪE/
Ablative	/-tEn/
Comparative	/-tE:ɪEr/
Instrumental	/-EnEn/

Table 1: Yakut case marking on nominals. Capital letters “I” and “E” denote archiphonemes with features $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} +\text{syllabic} \\ +\text{high} \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} +\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{high} \end{smallmatrix} \right]$, respectively, whose backness and rounding are determined by backing harmony and rounding harmony.

In the following set of examples, I illustrate the full case marking paradigm with singular nominals. In the first of this set, “dog” is given in citation form, which is nominative case.

- (6) 2023-01-19, 2023-02-23
ut-∅-∅
 dog-SG-NOM
 ‘dog’

In the next example, “meat” is accusative definite.

- (7) *mɪn* *ɛt-ɛ* *bɪhɪɪɪ-ɪnɪn* *bɪhɪɪ-bɪn*
 1SG.NOM meat-ACC.DEF knife-INS cut-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am cutting the meat with a knife.’

The next three examples feature “dog” in the dative, ablative, and comparative cases, respectively.

- (8) 2023-03-07
mɪn *ut-∅-kɪ* *ɪs-∅-∅* *bɪɛ-bɪn*
 1SG.NOM dog-SG-DAT food-SG-ACC give-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am giving food to the dog.’
- (9) 2023-03-23
mɪn *ɛt-ɛ* *ut-∅-tɪn* *bɪlɕɪɛ-bɪn*
 1SG.NOM meat-ACC.DEF dog-SG-ABL take-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am taking the meat away from the dog.’
- (10) 2023-03-07
mɪn *ut-∅-tɪ:ɪɪr* *ɪrdɪk-pɪn*
 1SG.NOM dog-SG-COMPAR tall-1SG.COP
 ‘I am taller than the dog.’

Finally, the following example illustrates the instrumental case, marked on “pen.”

- (11) 2023-03-30
mn uruffka-∅-anan sory-byt-um
 1SG.NOM pen-SG-INS write-PST-1SG
 ‘I wrote with a pen.’

Plural suffixes are attached to the stem before case markers, as seen in the word ‘dogs (dative plural)’ in the following example.

- (12) 2023-03-23
mn et-ε ut-tar-tan bεlϕiε-brn
 1SG.NOM meat-ACC.DEF dog-PL-DAT take-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am taking the meat away from the dogs.’

3.4 Definiteness marking

As described in §3.3, nominals in the accusative case may be marked for definiteness. For the pair of examples below, Platon commented that (14) refers to “this meat” specifically, in contrast to (13), supporting the interpretation of the accusative suffix *-E* as marking definite nouns only.

- (13) *mn et-∅ buha-burn*
 1SG.NOM meat-ACC.INDF cut-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am cutting meat.’
- (14) *mn et-ε buhav-anan buha-burn*
 1SG.NOM meat-ACC.DEF knife-INS cut-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am cutting the meat with a knife.’

However, our attempts to elicit the definite marker on accusative nominals (by providing discourse context that renders an accusative nominal identifiable) have had mixed results. See §8 for further discussion of possible future directions for investigation of definiteness.

3.5 Possessive marking on nominals

A possessed nominal is preceded by the possessor (another nominal or a pronoun) and is marked with a suffix that indicates the person and number of the possessor and the case of the possessed entity. The inflection for case in the possessive suffix replaces the default nominal case-marking suffixes on possessed nominals. Table 2 lists the possessive suffixes elicited thus far. The paradigm is only missing the third person plural accusative possessive suffix.

The next set of examples illustrates the first person singular possessive suffixes in all cases. The first example features a nominative case citation form.

- (15) *mn iε-m*
 1SG.NOM mother-1SG.POSS.NOM
 ‘My mother’

Next, “my beard” is accusative.

- (16) *mn butax-pun ϕruna-burn*
 1SG.NOM beard-1SG.POSS.ACC shave-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am shaving my beard.’

In the next two examples, “my dog” illustrates the dative and ablative cases, respectively.

Person	Case	Singular	Plural
1	Nominative	-Im	-bIt
	Accusative	-bIn	-bIt:In
	Dative	-bEr	-bItIgEr
	Ablative	-bIt:In	-bItIt:En
	Comparative	-bInE:ɤEr	-bItInE:ɤEr
	Instrumental	-bInEn	-bItInEn
2	Nominative	-In	-ɤIt
	Accusative	-ɤIn	-ɤIt:In
	Dative	-ɤEr	-ɤItIgEr
	Ablative	-ɤIt:En	-ɤItIt:En
	Comparative	-InE:ɤEr	-lE:ɤEr
	Instrumental	-ɤInEn	-ɤItInEn
3	Nominative	-tE	-lErE
	Accusative	-In	
	Dative	-IgEr	-lErIgEr
	Ablative	-It:En	-lErt:En
	Comparative	-InE:ɤEr	-lE:ɤEr
	Instrumental	-InEn	-lErInEn

Table 2: Underlying forms of Yakut possessive suffixes. Capital letters “I” and “E” denote archiphonemes with features $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} +\text{syllabic} \\ +\text{high} \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} +\text{syllabic} \\ -\text{high} \end{smallmatrix} \right]$, respectively, whose backness and rounding are determined by backing harmony and rounding harmony. We have not yet elicited the third person plural accusative possessive suffix.

- (17) *mn ut-par et bire-bm*
 1SG.NOM dog-1SG.POSS.DAT meat give-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am giving my dog meat.’

- (18) *mn et-ε ut-∅-but:an belɕie-bm*
 1SG.NOM meat-ACC.DEF dog-SG-1SG.POSS.ABL take-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am taking the meat from my dog.’

“My older sister” is in the comparative case in the following example.

- (19) *mn baltu-buna:ɤar tyrgε-mn*
 1SG.NOM older.sister-1SG.POSS.COMPARATIVE fast-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am faster than my older sister.’

Finally, “my knife” is in the instrumental case below.

- (20) *mn et-ε buhaɕ-punan buha-bm*
 1SG.NOM meat-ACC.DEF knife-1SG.POSS.INS cut-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am cutting the meat with my knife.’

Possessive noun phrases can embed recursively, as in the following example. In a recursively embedded possessive noun phrase, it is the innermost possessee that carries the expected case marking for the entire noun phrase’s grammatical role in the sentence. The possessees at intermediate levels of embedding take nominative case possessive suffixes. In the following example, which features two levels of embedding, “older brother” carries a possessive suffix with accusative case marking, while “mother” (which is both the possessee of the first person subject and the possessor of “older brother”) carries a possessive suffix with nominative case marking.

- (21) *mɪn* *iɛ-m* *ubaj-ɪn* *taptɯj-bɪn*
 1SG.NOM mother-1SG.POSS.NOM older_brother-3SG.POSS.ACC love-1SG.PRS
 ‘I love my mother’s older brother.’

4 Pronouns

In this section, I describe inflection for person, number, and case on pronouns (§4.1); how pronominal case marking compares to that for nominals (§4.2); possessive marking on pronouns, as compared to nominals (§4.3); and reflexive pronouns (§4.5). I also address the absence of clusivity (§4.4) and gender (§4.1) distinctions.

4.1 Person, number, and case marking

Yakut pronouns are inflected for person, number, and case. Additionally, Yakut differentiates between animate and inanimate third person singular pronouns. People and animals are referred to with the third person animate pronouns, while plants and non-living entities can be referred to with the third person inanimate pronouns. Table 3 gives the full paradigm for pronouns. We have not yet elicited any pronouns in the instrumental case, and whether or not Yakut finds the use of pronouns in the instrumental case felicitous is open to future investigation (see §8).

The third-person plural pronouns are formed from the third-person singular pronouns in a similar manner to nominals. First, the plural suffix *-lEr* is affixed to the stem. Then, a case marking suffix is affixed. An exception is the third person plural genitive case pronoun, which departs from this regular pattern of inflectional morphology. The third person plural genitive case pronoun is also unusual in that Platon produced it in conjunction with the nominative case pronoun *kinilɛr* to express possession in the construction “X is Y’s.” Platon used the genitive case pronouns for other persons and numbers without the addition of the nominative case pronoun to express possession in the following construction.

- (22) *kɛnɛɕ* *kinilɛr* *ki:nɛɕ*
 book 3PL.NOM 3PL.GEN
 ‘The book is theirs.’

In the first and second persons, unlike the third person, the underlying forms of the plural pronouns do not appear to be morphologically derived from the singular forms.

In (23)-(29) I illustrate the singular and plural first person, second person, and third person nominative case pronouns.

- (23) 2023-02-23
mɪn *ɯtɯj-bɪn*
 1SG.NOM cry-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am crying.’

- (24) 2023-02-23
ɛn *ɯtɯj-ɣɪn*
 2SG.NOM cry-2SG.PRS
 ‘You are crying.’

- (25) 2023-02-23
kinɪ-∅-∅ *ɯtɯj-∅*
 3.ANIMATE-SG-NOM cry-3SG.PRS
 ‘He/she is crying.’

- (26) 2023-03-09
itɪ *harsɯn* *tɔɣɯ*
 3SG.NOM.INANIMATE tomorrow will.freeze
 ‘It will freeze tomorrow.’

Person (Gender)	Case	Singular	Plural
1	Nominative Accusative Dative Ablative Comparative Genitive	mm mijgm miæ mijgit:en mijigine:æer miene	bihigr bihigine bihieæ bihigit:en bihigine:æer bihiene
2	Nominative Accusative Dative Ablative Comparative Genitive	en ejgm ejæ ejgit:en ejigine:æer ejiene	ehigr ehigine ehieæ ehigit:en ehiene
3 (Animate)	Nominative Accusative Dative Ablative Comparative Genitive	kinı kinine kiniæ kiniten kinit:æer kiniene	kiniler kinilere kinilergæ kinilerten kinilerde:æer kinere
3 (Inanimate)	Nominative Accusative Dative Ablative Comparative Genitive	itı itine itı:nten itine:æer	

Table 3: Yakut pronouns. Because we have not yet attempted to elicit pronouns in the instrumental case, this case is omitted from the table.

- (27) 2023-02-23
bihigi utuwj-but
 1PL.NOM cry-1PL.PRS
 ‘We are crying.’
- (28) 2023-02-21
ehigi kini-ler-ε taptuwj-gut
 2PL.NOM 3.ANIMATE-PL-ACC love-2PL.PRS
 ‘You love them.’
- (29) 2023-02-23
kini-ler-∅ utuwj-lar
 3.ANIMATE-PL-NOM cry-3PL.PRS
 ‘They are crying.’

Next, I illustrate the accusative case pronouns (as the direct objects of the verbs “love” and “see”) for all (animate) person and number categories.

- (30) 2023-02-23
en mijigin taptuwj-gurn
 2SG.NOM 1SG.ACC love-2SG.PRS
 ‘You love me.’
- (31) 2023-02-16
mun ejigin kæræ-byñ
 1SG.NOM 2SG.ACC see-1SG.PRS
 ‘I see you.’
- (32) 2023-02-16
en kini-∅-nε kæræ-byñ
 2SG.NOM 3.ANIMATE-SG-ACC see-2SG.PRS
 ‘You see him/her.’
- (33) 2023-02-16
kini-∅-∅ iti-nε kæræ-∅
 3.ANIMATE-SG-NOM 3SG.INANIMATE-ACC see-3SG.PRS
 ‘He/she sees it.’
- (34) 2023-02-21
kini-ler-∅ bihigi-nε taptuwj-lar
 3.ANIMATE-PL-NOM 1PL-ACC love-3PL.PRS
 ‘They love us.’
- (35) 2023-02-16
mun ehigi-nε kæræ-byñ
 1SG.NOM 2PL-ACC see-1SG.PRS
 ‘I see you.’
- (36) 2023-02-21
ehigi kini-ler-ε taptuwj-gut
 2PL.NOM 3.ANIMATE-PL-ACC love-2PL.PRS
 ‘You love them.’

The next set of examples illustrates the first person singular, second person singular, and third person singular animate dative case pronouns as the indirect objects of the verb “give.”

(37) 2023-02-23
kini-∅-∅ *miβε* *κενεγε* *βιρε-∅*
 3.ANIMATE-SG-NOM 1SG.DAT book give-3SG.PRS
 ‘He/she gives me a book.’

(38) 2023-02-23
min *εjiβε* *κενεγε* *βιρε-βιν*
 1SG.NOM 2SG.DAT book give-1SG.PRS
 ‘I give you a book.’

(39) 2023-02-23
min *kini-∅-βε* *κενεγε* *βιρε-βιν*
 1SG.NOM 3.ANIMATE-SG-DAT book give-1SG.PRS
 ‘I give him/her a book.’

The next set of examples illustrates the ablative case pronouns with the verbs “ask a question,” “ask for a favor (from),” and “take (from)”:

(40) 2023-02-23
kini-λερ-∅ *mijigit:εν* *υjujta-lar*
 3.ANIMATE-PL-NOM 1.SG.ABL ask.question-3PL.PRS
 ‘They are asking me a question.’

(41) 2023-03-07
min *εjigit:εν* *καρδæs-tæm*
 1SG.NOM 2.SG.ABL ask.favor-1SG.PST
 ‘I asked you for a favor.’

(42) 2023-02-23
min *kini-∅-τεν* *υjujta-burn*
 1SG.NOM 3.ANIMATE-SG-ABL ask.question-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am asking him/her question.’

(43) 2023-03-23
εν *ετ-ε* *bihigi-t:εν* *βυλξιε-γιν*
 2SG.NOM meat-ACC.DEF 1PL-ABL take-2PL.PRS
 ‘You are taking the meat away from us.’

(44) 2023-03-07
min *εhigit:εν* *καρδæs-tæm*
 1SG.NOM 2.PL.ABL ask.favor-1SG.PST
 ‘I asked you for a favor.’

(45) 2023-02-23
min *kini-λερ-τεν* *υjujta-burn*
 1SG.NOM 3.ANIMATE-PL-ABL ask.question-1SG.PRS
 ‘I am asking them a question.’

The last two examples illustrate the comparative and genitive cases of the first person singular pronoun.

(46) *εν* *mijiginε:βερ* *υρας-κυν*
 2SG.NOM 1SG.COMPARATIVE clean-2SG.PRS
 ‘You are cleaner than me.’

(47) *κενεγε* *μιενε*
 book 1SG.GEN
 ‘The book is mine.’

4.2 Comparison with nominal case marking

As with nominals, pronouns appear to be unmarked in the nominative case and marked with suffixes in the other cases. For example, the nominative case of the first person plural pronoun is *bihigi*, and the accusative case is formed by adding suffix *-nε*. The form of the case marking suffixes is also similar to that of the nominal suffixes. For example, if the underlying form of “me (dative singular)” is analyzed as *mi-βε*, then the dative suffix that appears in this particular form is identical to that for nominals.

However, case marking on pronouns diverges from that on nominals in several respects. Unlike nominals, which may optionally be marked for definiteness in the accusative case, pronouns are always marked with an overt morpheme in the accusative case. Moreover, the case marking suffixes differ for different persons and numbers, as enumerated in Table 4.2.

Case	Person/Number	Stem	Suffix
Nominative	All	min, εn, kini, iti, bihigi, εhigi, kiniler	∅
Accusative	1SG, 2SG 1PL, 2PL, 3SG 3PL.ANIMATE	miji-, εji- bihigi-, εhigi-, kini- kiniler-	-gin -nε -ε
Dative	1SG, 2SG, 3SG.ANIMATE, 3PL.ANIMATE 1PL, 2PL	mi-, εji-, kini-, kiniler- bihi-, εhi-	-βε -εβε
Ablative	1SG, 2SG 3SG.ANIMATE, 3PL.ANIMATE 1PL, 2PL 3SG.INANIMATE	miji-, εji-, kini-, kiniler- bihigi-, εhigi- iti-	-git:εn -tεn -t:εn -intεn
Comparative	1SG, 2SG 3SG.ANIMATE 1PL 3PL.ANIMATE 3SG.INANIMATE	miji-, εji- kini- bihigi- kiniler- iti-	-ginε:βεr -t:ε:βεr -nε:βεr -dε:βεr -inε:βεr
Genitive	1SG, 2SG, 3SG.ANIMATE, 1PL, 2PL 3PL.ANIMATE	mi-, εji-, kini-, bihi-, εhi- ki-	-εnε -inεrε

Table 4: Yakut case marking on pronouns. Stems and suffixes are shown in their underlying representations (before application of the rules listed in §2).

4.3 Possessive marking on pronouns as compared with nominals

In contrast to nominal possessors, which are generally unmarked (since it is the possessed nominal that usually carries the possessive marking), a pronominal possessor may be marked or unmarked in Yakut, depending on the possessive construction used. The possessor may appear in the dative case, as in the following example.

- (48) *miεβε bat:αχ ba*
 1SG.DAT hair exists
 ‘I have hair.’

The possessor may also appear in the genitive case, as in (47). Or, the possessor may appear in the nominative case (i.e. unmarked), with the possessee carrying case marking and agreement marking with the possessor, as in (15).

4.4 Absence of inclusive/exclusive marking

Yakut does not appear to distinguish between the inclusive and exclusive senses of the first person pronoun through morphology.

4.5 Reflexives

Table §4.5 lists the reflexive pronouns we have elicited thus far.

Person (Gender)	Case	Singular	Plural
1	Nominative Accusative Dative Ablative Comparative	bejɛm bejɛbm	bejɛbet:m
2	Nominative Accusative Dative Ablative Comparative	bejɛn bejɛβɛn bejɛβɛr	bejɛβɛt:m
3 (Animate)	Nominative Accusative Dative Ablative Comparative	bejɛtɛ bejɛt:ɛn	bejɛlɛrɛn
3 (Inanimate)	Nominative Accusative Dative Ablative Comparative		

Table 5: Yakut reflexive pronouns

The following examples illustrate the nominative, accusative, and dative cases of the second person singular reflexive pronoun. We have not elicited reflexive pronouns in any other cases.

- (49) *ɛn* *bejɛn* *bar* *buɔlaŋ-ɣun* *ɛn* *ǫɔlɔx-xun*
 2SG.NOM 2SG.NOM.REFL exist because-2SG 2SG.NOM happy-2SG.PRS
 ‘You are happy because of yourself.’
- (50) *ɛn* *bejɛβɛn* *kæræβyn*
 2SG.NOM 2SG.ACC.REFL see-2SG.PRS
 ‘You see yourself.’
- (51) *ɛn* *bejɛβɛr* *kɛnɛgɛ* *birɛ-βɪn*
 2SG.NOM 2SG.DAT.REFL book.SG.ACC.INDF give-2SG.PRS
 ‘You give yourself a book.’

5 Noun phrase syntax

In this section, I summarize the ordering of constituents in noun phrases (§5.1) and describe characteristics of several possible noun phrase constituents, including demonstratives (§5.2), quantifiers (§5.3), numerals (§5.4), and adjectives (§5.5). Adjectives seem to be the only one of these constituents which may appear multiple times in the same noun phrase; see §5.5.3 for a description of adjective chaining.

5.1 Noun phrase constituents and ordering

The possible constituents of a noun phrase are listed in order of increasing complexity in Table 6. (For discussion of other possible constituent orderings to be tested in the future, see §8.) Noun phrases may

include nouns, pronouns, demonstratives, quantifiers, numerals, degree adverbs, and adjectives. We have not found any evidence for the existence of articles, however.

Yakut NP constituent orderings
NP → PN
NP → (Num) (Adj) N
NP → DegAdv Adj N
NP → Quant N
NP → Dem N

Table 6: Possible noun phrase constituents and their default orderings

The following example illustrates that a noun phrase can consist of a single pronoun (the subject in this sentence) or a single noun (the predicate).

- (52) *kinu-∅-∅* *utfutal-∅-∅*
 3.ANIMATE-SG-NOM teacher-SG-COP.3SG
 ‘He/she is a teacher.’

The next noun phrase consists of a numeral, adjective, and noun.

- (53) *alta kirider kugarχ-tar*
 six dirty ear-PL
 ‘six dirty ears’

The predicate of the next sentence demonstrates that a noun phrase may consist of a degree adverb, adjective, and noun.

- (54) *kinu-∅-∅* *samaχ yfygyej utfutal-∅-∅*
 3.ANIMATE-SG-NOM most good teacher-SG-COP.3SG
 ‘He/she is the best teacher.’

The last two examples in this section illustrate that a noun phrase can consist of a quantifier and a noun, as in (55), or a demonstrative and a noun, as in (56).

- (55) *elbeχ ∂sku∂la*
 many school
 ‘many schools’

- (56) *bu uru:tfka kiniεne*
 this pen hers
 ‘This pen is hers.’

5.2 Demonstratives

Yakut uses demonstratives *bu* and *∂l* to refer to entities which are close to or far from the speaker (physically or conceptually), respectively. In particular, Platon says that (57) is appropriate if the pen is close enough for the speaker to reach, and (58) is appropriate if the pen is out of reach.

- (57) *bu uru:tfka*
 this pen
 ‘this pen’

- (58) *∂l uru:tfka*
 that pen
 ‘that pen’

Both *bu* and *ol* can function as demonstrative pronouns, as in the following example.

- (59) *bu min uru:ʃka*
 this my pen
 ‘This is my pen.’

5.3 Quantifiers

Yakut often expresses quantification with adverbs rather than quantifiers. For example, in the following sentence, *kuuratuk* features adverbial morphology (the adverbial suffix *-tuk* is affixed to the adjective *kuura*, meaning “small”), suggesting that the sentence “The dog ate a little bit of the meat” is expressed in Yakut as “The dog ate the meat a little bit,” with the extent of the action, rather than the noun, quantified.

- (60) *ut et-ε kuura-tuk siebite*
 dog meat-ACC.DEF small-ADV ate
 ‘The dog ate a little bit of the meat.’

When Platon translates an English sentence featuring a quantified noun phrase, it can be difficult to determine whether his Yakut translation uses a quantifier or an adverb. However, since, plural marking on nouns is optional when they are quantified, as in (61) below, absence of plural marking on a quantified, plural, countable nominal can serve as evidence that the noun is indeed quantified. In particular, based on (61), *elbeχ* is a quantifier, not an adverb.

- (61) *elbeχ askuola-∅*
 many school-SG
 ‘many schools’

Table 5.3 lists quantifiers and whether they are used with count or mass nouns. Most quantifiers we have elicited can be used with both count and mass nouns. For example, *elbeχ* quantifies the count noun “school” in (61), and quantifies “meat,” a mass noun, in the following example.

- (62) *elbeχ et*
 much meat
 ‘much meat’

English	Yakut	Used with count nouns	Used with mass nouns
some, several	sərəx	Y	Y
many, a lot of, much	elbeχ	Y	Y
all	baruu	Y	Y
every	həs birdi	Y	Unknown

Table 7: Yakut quantifiers

The next set of examples illustrates the remaining quantifiers *sərəx*, *baruu*, and *həs birdi*. The gloss for *həs birdi* is uncertain, as we have yet to examine the possible meanings of its constituent words.

- (63) *onə sərəx χaja-lar bar-lar*
 there several mountain-PL exist-3PL
 ‘There are several mountains.’

- (64) *ehigi baruu yʃygej-ɡit*
 2PL.NOM all good-2PL
 ‘You are all good.’

- (65) *həs birdi baluksurt tuj-la*
 every every fisherman boat-has
 ‘Every fisherman has a boat.’

5.4 Numerals

In this section I describe ordinal numerals (§5.4.1), cardinal numerals (§5.4.2), and the interaction between numerals and number marking (§5.4.3).

5.4.1 Ordinal numerals

The Yakut number system is base ten. Table 5.4.1 lists ordinal numerals one through fourteen, as well as some larger numbers. Based on the forms of numbers 11 – 14, it appears that the numbers 11 – 19 are formed by the word for “ten” followed by the word for the ones digit. For example, the literal translation of “eleven” would be “ten one.”

The word for “twenty” does not appear to be formed from the words for any of the numbers 1 – 19.

The number “three hundred” appears to consist of the word for “three” followed by the word for “hundred,” as it does in English.

English	Yakut	English	Yakut	English	Yakut
one	bir	eleven	uon bir	one hundred	sys
two	ikki	twelve	uon ikki		
three	ys	thirteen	uon ys	three hundred	ys sys
four	tyoet	fourteen	uon tyoet		
five	bies				
six	alta				
seven	sette				
eight	avus				
nine	tovus				
ten	uon	twenty	sybe		

Table 8: Yakut ordinal numerals (elicited January 19, 2023)

5.4.2 Cardinal numerals

Table 5.4.2 lists the cardinal numerals corresponding to the ordinals listed in Table 5.4.1 (except for “fourteenth,” which was not elicited).

The formation of cardinal numerals from ordinal numerals is not entirely regular. The word for “first” appears to be formed through suppletion. Some of the cardinal numerals can be formed from the ordinals by suffixing *-Is*, followed by the application of the phonological rules enumerated in §2. For cardinals consisting of more than one word, it is the last word which is suffixed.

Some cardinals exhibit alternations not already accounted for by the phonological rules in §2. For example, ordinal numbers with a diphthong in the stem lose the first vowel of the diphthong in their cardinal form, causing alternations such as between *uon* (“twenty”) and *ovus* (“twentieth”). To form “eighth” and “ninth,” it appears that the roots *avus* and *tovus* have undergone syncope, each losing the vowel of its final syllable. Additionally, [ʏ] has devoiced to [χ] in both cardinals, perhaps in an anticipatory manner to match the voicing of [s].

5.4.3 Interaction between numerals and number marking

Plural marking on nominals is optional when a nominal is already quantified by a numeral. In first two examples in the following set, plural marking on the quantified nominal has been omitted, while in the third example, it has been included. In general, Platon tends to omit plural marking from quantified noun phrases.

- (66) 2023-01-25
alta sirej-∅
 six face-SG

English	Yakut	English	Yakut	English	Yakut
first	bastakur	eleventh	uon biris	one hundredth	syhys
second	ikkis	twelfth	uon ikkis		
third	yhys	thirteenth	uon yhys	three hundredth	ys syhys
fourth	tøerdys				
fifth	bøhis				
sixth	altus				
seventh	settis				
eighth	aysis				
ninth	tøxsos				
tenth	onus	twentieth	sybøhis		

Table 9: Yakut cardinal numerals (elicited February 16, 2023)

‘six faces’

- (67) 2023-01-25
alta solus-ø
 six star-SG
 ‘six stars’

- (68) *iki kehi-ler*
 two person-PL
 ‘two people’

5.5 Adjectives

In this section, I discuss comparatives (§5.5.1), superlatives (§5.5.2), and adjective chaining (§5.5.3).

5.5.1 Comparatives

The Yakut comparative construction employs a copular clause (see §7), with the subject predicated by the compared quality. The standard of comparison takes the ablative case, as in (69) below, or the comparative case, as in (70). As in other copular clauses, the predicate (in this case, the adjective) is marked for person-number agreement with the subject of the clause.

- (69) 2023-03-07
min ejigit:en yrdyk-pyn
 1SG.NOM 2SG.ABL tall-1SG
 ‘I am taller than you.’

- (70) 2023-03-07
min ejiginεβer yrdyk-pyn
 1SG.NOM 2SG.COMPARATIVE tall-1SG
 ‘I am taller than you.’

5.5.2 Superlatives

Superlatives are expressed using the degree adverb *samaj*, which can be glossed as “the most,” as in the example below. Like the comparative construction in the previous section, the superlative construction also employs a copular clause.

- (71) 2023-03-07
man samaj uras-puun
 1SG.NOM most clean-1SG
 ‘I am the cleanest.’

5.5.3 Adjective chaining

Yakut allows for multiple adjectives in a single noun phrase, as in the next example.

- (72) *yrdyk mavan xaja-lar uraxtan*
 tall white mountain-PL far.away
 ‘The tall, white mountains are far away.’

6 Derivational nominal morphology

A noun describing a human agent can be formed by adding the suffix /-sIt/ to a noun related to the action performed by the agent. For example, “fisherman” (74) is formed from the word for “fish” (73), “hunter” is formed from the word for “hunting,” and “warrior” is formed from the word for “war.” Alternations in the agentive suffix are partially accounted for by Backing Harmony and Debuccalization. Additionally, in “hunter” (76), it appears that the underlying sequence /ts/ becomes the affricate [tʃ].

- (73) 2023-01-19
baluk-∅-∅
 fish-SG-NOM
 ‘fish’
- (74) 2023-02-21
baluk-sut-∅-∅
 fish-A-SG-NOM
 ‘fisherman’
- (75) 2023-03-09
bult-∅-∅
 hunting-SG-NOM
 ‘hunting’
- (76) 2023-02-21
bult-fut-∅-∅
 hunter-A-SG-NOM
 ‘hunter’
- (77) 2023-02-21
seri-∅-∅
 war-SG-NOM
 ‘war’
- (78) 2023-02-21
seri-hit-∅-∅
 warrior-A-SG-NOM
 ‘warrior’

7 Copular clauses

In this section, I describe how copular clauses are realized morphologically and syntactically with nominal (§7.1), adjectival (§7.2), locational (§7.3), and pronominal (§7.4) predicates.

7.1 Copular clauses with nominal predicates

Copular clauses with nominal predicates are realized by suffixing a person-number agreement marker to the predicate nominal. The subject precedes the predicate. Table 10 gives the full paradigm for the agreement markers. The predicate nominal must also agree in number with the subject, so for plural nouns, the plural marker is affixed to the stem before the person-number agreement marker. In the third person, the person-number agreement marker is a null morpheme.

Given that the suffixes used to mark nominal predicates have the same forms as the markers for person-number agreement on verbs, and that the suffixed predicate nominal occupies the same position in the sentence as a verb, the Yakut copula can be viewed as a derivational operation that forms a verb from the nominal predicate (Payne 1997: 118).

Person	Singular	Plural
1	-bin	-bit
2	-gin	-git
3	∅	-lEr

Table 10: Underlying forms of Yakut person-number agreement suffixes for verbs. Copular clauses mark the predicate with these suffixes, except for third person plural predicate nominals, which take the null morpheme.

The next set of examples illustrates the copular clause with the nominal predicate “teacher” for all persons and numbers.

(79) 2023-02-09
mın *utfutal-∅-bıın*
 1SG.NOM teacher-SG-1SG
 ‘I am a teacher.’

(80) 2023-02-09
en *utfutal-∅-gıın*
 2SG.NOM teacher-SG-2SG
 ‘You are a teacher.’

(81) 2023-02-09
kını-∅-∅ *utfutal-∅-∅*
 3-SG-NOM teacher-SG-3SG
 ‘He/she is a teacher.’

(82) 2023-02-09
bihigi *utfutal-lar-bıııt*
 1PL.NOM teacher-PL-1PL
 ‘We are teachers.’

(83) 2023-02-09
ehigi *utfutal-lar-gıııt*
 2PL.NOM teacher-PL-2PL
 ‘You are teachers.’

(84) 2023-02-09
kını-lEr-∅ *utfutal-lar-∅*
 3-PL-NOM teacher-PL-3PL
 ‘They are teachers.’

7.2 Copular clauses with adjectival predicates

Like nominal predicates, adjective predicates are marked for agreement in person and number with the subject through suffixation. The person-number agreement markers used for adjectival predicates are the same as those used for nominal predicates, listed in Table 10. (Again, these are also the same agreement markers as are used for verbs.)

Unlike predicate nominals, which are suffixed in the plural form with the plural marker for nominals, followed by the suffix for person-number agreement for verbs, adjectival predicates are (in most cases) suffixed only with the person-number agreement marker for verbs. The third person is an exception: the adjectival predicate is suffixed with a morpheme identical in form to the nominal plural marker when the subject is plural.

One possible analysis is that the adjective is nominalized to form a predicate nominal in the third person, which would explain why its morphological realization is identical to that of predicate nominals. Additional support for this analysis comes from our elicitations of adjective-to-noun nominalizations. For example, Platon translates “young ones” as *εδεr-δεr*, from *εδεr* (“young”), demonstrating that an adjective can be nominalized and will carry the expected nominal number marking suffix.

However, a second possible analysis is that the form of the third person plural agreement marker is identical to that of the plural marker for nominals. We see *-λεr* as the agreement marker for the third person plural on verbs, so perhaps the third person plural agreement marker is non-null for copular clauses with adjectival predicates (unlike for copular clauses with nominal predicates, for which it is null).

Below, I illustrate copular clauses for all persons and numbers with the adjective predicate “good.”

- (85) 2023-03-07
min *yʃygej-bin*
1SG.NOM good-1SG
‘I am good.’
- (86) 2023-02-09
en *yʃygej-gin*
2SG.NOM good-2SG
‘You are good.’
- (87) 2023-02-09
kin-∅-∅ *yʃygej-∅*
3-SG-NOM good-3SG
‘He/she is good.’
- (88) 2023-02-09
bihigi *yʃygej-bit*
1PL.NOM good-1PL
‘We are good.’
- (89) 2023-02-09
ehigi *yʃygej-git*
2PL.NOM good-2PL
‘You are good.’
- (90) 2023-02-09
kini-λεr-∅ *yʃygej-δεr*
3-PL-NOM good-3PL
‘They are good.’

For examples of copular clauses with comparatives and superlatives as adjectival predicates, see §5.5.

7.3 Copular clauses with locational predicates

Copular clauses with locational predicates can be constructed in multiple ways. A locational predicate can simply consist of a dative case nominal, as in the following example, with no overt copula morpheme. When the predicate is expressed in this manner, the subject is “at,” “in,” or “on” the specified location.

- (91) *bihigi* *ɔskuɔlɑ-bɑ*
1PL.NOM school-DAT
‘We are at school.’

A copular verb may also be used with dative locational predicates, but is not obligatory. For example, in the following sentence, Platon judges it acceptable to omit *bar-burn*.

- (92) *mɪn* *utuɟer* *hɔs-kɔ* *bar-burn*
1SG.NOM sleeping room-DAT COP-1SG
‘I am in the bedroom.’

Alternatively, a predicate locative may employ an adpositional phrase, in which case the adposition is marked for agreement in number with its noun complement, using the same number marking suffixes as for nominals. Additionally, the invariant suffix *-IgEr* (which I analyze here as a copula) is affixed after any number marking on the adposition. The noun complement appears in the nominative case. Below, (93) and (94) illustrate copular clauses with adpositional phrases, including contrasting singular and plural number marking on the adposition.

- (93) *at* *ɟʒiɛ-θ-θ* *tah-ɯgar*
horse house-SG-NOM outside-COP
‘The horse is outside the house.’

- (94) *at* *ɟʒiɛ-lɛr-θ* *tas-tar-ɯgar*
horse house-PL-NOM outside-PL-COP
‘The horse is outside the houses.’

7.4 Copular clauses with pronominal predicates

Our elicitations have not yielded any instances of copular clauses with pronominal predicates. However, we have observed pronominal predicate constructions that do not use a copula. As seen in (47), a nominal may be juxtaposed with a genitive pronominal predicate to express possession.

See §8 for possible future directions for investigating pronominal predicates.

8 Future work

In this section, I describe gaps in our current understanding of Yakut morphosyntax, and possible approaches to future investigation.

In addition to use of the demonstratives *bu* and *ɔl* discussed previously, Yakut appears to mark several degrees of definiteness which are poorly captured by our metalanguage articles “the” and “a,” based on the examples elicited below.

- (95) *urua* *ɯlarɟa*
song let.us.sing
‘Let’s sing the song.’

- (96) *uruata* *ɯlarɟa*
song let.us.sing
‘Let’s sing the song.’

Moreover, sometimes the use of *bu* and *ol* induces suffixation on the noun:

- (97) *ol uruana istiεqε*
 that song let_us_listen
 ‘Let’s listen to that song.’

Notably, all three examples above seem to feature the definiteness marking on an accusative case noun, for which we have already identified one definiteness marker *-E*. To investigate whether the suffixes in the preceding examples indeed mark definiteness, we will need to design elicitation prompts that provide discourse contexts with varying levels of identifiability for a fixed noun, and observe which suffixes are used in each context. We should also design elicitation prompts which employ the demonstratives in different cases, as we have previously mostly elicited demonstratives with nominative case nouns, and therefore we may have missed any case marking specific to demonstratives.

We also currently lack data on whether pronouns can occur in the instrumental case. This may be infelicitous, since people are generally agents, not instruments. However, an instrumental case third person inanimate pronoun would perhaps be less infelicitous than instrumental pronouns for animate entities, and could be elicited through prompts of the form “I use **it** to . . . ” and “I VERB with **it**” (with discourse context providing an inanimate antecedent for “it”).

Future elicitations could also devote time to filling in the paradigm for reflexive pronouns. Additionally, we could investigate whether reflexives have additional uses, such as in reciprocal clauses or for emphasis (Payne 1997: 198-203). Potential verbs to use in elicitation prompts for investigating reciprocal clauses include “see” and “meet.” To investigate whether Yakut uses reflexives for emphasis, it may be helpful to provide discourse context that sets up a contrast between the emphasized referent and others (e.g. “Did your mother prepare the food? No, **I** prepared the food **myself**”).

We also lack data on the full range of noun phrase constituent orderings possible. We can test, for example, whether a quantifier and an adjective, or a demonstrative and numeral, can occur in the same noun phrase, by constructing sentences featuring these constituents, using the words we have already elicited.

Based on Platon’s comments, Yakut may have a vocative case, but further elicitation is required to confirm this. For example, he noted that the vowels in the word for mother, *i:ε*, are lengthened if one is calling directly to one’s mother. We might ask Platon to translate a short dialogue in which the speakers address one another by name in order to elicit the vocative case.

As we observed genitive case marking on pronouns, Yakut may also have a genitive case that marks nominal possessors, serving as an alternative to the possessor agreement suffixes introduced in §3.5 (which mark the possessee). The genitive case may appear in embedded possessive noun phrases such as in the following example.

- (98) *min iε-m ubaj-urn kεrgen-in εhe:te*
 1SG.NOM mother-1SG.POSS.NOM older_brother-GEN spouse-GEN grandfather-3SG.POSS.NOM
ynkylyr
 dances
 ‘My mother’s older brother’s wife’s grandfather dances.’

In the above analysis, the underlying form of the nominal genitive suffix is assumed to be *-In*, which is identical to the third person singular accusative possessive suffix. In order to test whether *-In* is indeed the genitive case marking suffix for nominals, we might reformulate some of the possessive clause translations we have elicited from Platon, using the genitive case to mark the possessor. For example, for the sentence “The woman’s arm is long” (translated by Platon as *ǰaxtar ili-te urun*, with possessor agreement marking on the possessee *ili*, “arm”) we might ask Platon if it is grammatical to say *ǰaxtar-urn ili-te urun* (with “woman” in the genitive case). (I have included the possessive marker *-te* on the possessee in the constructed example because it appears in the previous example on “grandfather,” suggesting that it can co-occur with the proposed genitive marking.)

We might investigate copular clauses with pronominal predicates, given our current lack of data for this construction. We could test whether pronominal predicates are treated similarly to nominal predicates, by constructing copular clauses with the pronouns and subject agreement markers we have collected, and

applying the rules described in §7.1 for constructing copular clauses with nominal predicates. We would then ask Platon whether the constructed sentences are grammatical.

Finally, there may be more derivational nominal morphology which we have not yet explored. In particular, in the future we could explore whether Yakut allows for various types of nominalization, such as action nominalization, agent nominalization, and patient nominalization (Payne 1997: 223-231). For example, since we previously elicited the verb “sing,” we could elicit sentences including the words “singing (noun)” (action nominalization) and “singer” (agent nominalization) and analyze whether the forms of these nouns are derived from the verb.

References

- Payne, Thomas E. 1997. *Describing morphosyntax*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
Yakut. 2023. *Ethnologue*. (<https://www.ethnologue.com/language/sah/>) (Accessed 2023-2-26.)